Approved For Release 2001/11/08: CIA-RDP86-00244R900100040074-1 THE REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT GUIDE - 1966-2000 June 30, 1966

National Capitol Regional Planning Council, Washington, D.C.

I groups in the Region to a full rds of citizenship. The recomnerease the stability and welof a regional job training and incial institutions to channer or nunities, and an extensive housrimary and secondary education k the circle of family instability, nt, low achievement motivation,

of human resources is a social tomation, changing market dee helped to obtain productive an resources, planning for job dinated with physical planning, iditures with private investment ies; establishing community or ibs and on-the-job training proral research on unemployment

nomy.3—The locations of coms of desired economic activities, ets and of the location requireland values resulting from exs; analysis of the behavior of in which different combinations gether ("agglomerate"); deterof alternative land-use arrangechoices and public investment

t the objectives set forth in the structure should be considered. uning actions which encourage; methods to attract new indusdevelopment incentives; study-

n, and Economics, Technology and Regional Growth 1950-2000, Aping tax and legislative policies which affect location of industry in the Region; analyzing industrial costs and amenities in the Region to discover additional kinds of public or private capital investment needed to support new industry; and choosing the jurisdictions in which new industries are to be located.

THE FEDERAL EXPANSION PLAN

Topics for study include: Federal employment and location policies; programs of joint Federal-local action for carrying out Federal expansion policies; permanent executive-branch procedures to assure compliance with the Federal Expansion Plan.

Federal employment and location policies.—To achieve the desired pattern of development-especially in the corridor-city and new-town core areas-Federal expansion must be carefully coordinated with overall planning for the Region. Problems include: Determining guidelines and administrative procedures for achieving a desired Federal employment average of 25 to 35 percent in the core of each corridor city or new town; determining the needs and problems of Federal agencies with respect to ultimate location in corridor-city core centers; determining the linkages, relationships, and communication patterns between various agencies; determining which type of Federal agency goes into which corridor-city core; coordinating local rezoning, capital programming, subdivision and core center site design actions with Federal agency relocation plans; compensating for the loss of potential tax revenues which will result from Federal ownership of sites in highvalue portions of corridor-city cores; designing and staging the development of regional transportation facilities to insure the success of decentralized Federal agency locations; and grouping related Federal, State, and local governmental agencies into the same corridor city.

Programs of joint Federal-local action for carrying out Federal expansion policies.—To insure the success of the corridor city and new towns by using Federal expansion policy as a positive factor in radial corridor development, a joint local-Federal policy and operational planning mechanism is needed. This mechanism would operate both to achieve the objectives of the Regional Guide and to further the interests of local and Federal Governments. Problems include: Providing necessary local capital investments to permit efficient operation of proposed Federal facilities in the corridor cities; possible joint financing, construction, donation or dedication of land, services, or facilities; reviewing Federal agency site development, employee needs, and capacities of employee service facilities; devising and enforcing Federal site employment ceilings and site-design standards; and mediating conflicts between local and Federal interests. All parties would be committed by memorandums of agreement and, wherever possible, by appropriate legislation; both Federal and local Governments would agree to provide necessary appropriations to carry out their respective commitments.

Permanent executive branch procedures to assure compliance with the Federal Expansion Plan.-To protect the policies of the Regional Development Guide from violation by individual Federal agencies, permanent control of Federal expansion projects in the Region is required. At present, while the National Capital Planning Commission (NCPC) reviews all Federal construction projects in the Region, it generally has mandatory review powers over proposals for the District of Columbia but only advisory powers over projects outside the District. The enabling legislation for NCPC should be amended to require its approval of all Federal locations and development plans in the Region, expand its review and enforcement powers of agency employment ceilings, and direct its promulgation of specific policies on federally-leased space. Leased space should be limited to a maximum 10 percent of all Federal space with a 36-month maximum leasing 1 period by any one agency, and 12- and 24-month reviews of space needs of agencies located in leased space. The NCPC should have full enforcement powers in its areas of responsibility. The 10-year development plans of the General Services Administration (GSA) should be fully coordinated with long-range NCPC policies. NCPC should be required to use adopted plan development policies relocating all Federal agencies designated eligible for relocation to the corridor cities. This would require local review if variance from adopted policies is indicated.

INTERREGIONAL PLANNING

All of the State, regional, and Federal agencies of Megalopolis should be called together to identify their mutual goals and problems and to discuss the possibilities for concerted planning. They should create a joint committee on planning for Megalopolis. Subcommittees in the National Capital Region and in the other metropolitan areas of Megalopolis would inventory the resources, problems, and opportunities for their respective areas, and report their recommendations to the full committee.

If this initiative in interregional planning is fruitful, the National Capital Region will derive these benefits:

☐ New outlooks on decisions made by other regional planning agencies and local governing bodies with problems similar to ours.
☐ Solution to mutual problems shared by various jurisdictions within Megalopolis.
☐ Improved coordination of plans among the agencies of Megalopolis to achieve common objectives of the National Capital Region.
☐ Direct savings of public expenditures in the National Capital Region resulting from increased effectiveness of land use and transportation planning throughout Megalopolis.

☐ Improved allocation and use of the available natural, social and economic resources among the metropolitan areas of Megalopolis. The region's share of these resources can then be determined in a more rational way.

To coordinate the transportation with those of the National Capital study areas are suggested; freew linkages, and intercity transportation

Study topics include comprehensi freeways, circumferential freeways

Comprehensive public automotive mobile has brought about basic ch values. The unique advantages However, in the haste to meet aut view the whole problem. The p cern that car owners shall be able they wish. Often this approach solves. Therefore the Council rec all aspects of automobile use in a Guide. Problems include: The of traffic-engineering decisions; th the expense and perhaps dubious unlicensed mechanics; the ineffic mercial-strip development; the de a byproduct of real-estate speculi with traffic-movement plans; an abandoned in the streets or left r

New radial freeways.—The Couradial freeways and interchanges private-market development of the access to new Federal installations. The additional costs of providing repaid later by efficiencies gained lems include: Choice of specific departments on programming contransit where needed; and the inceded. Various traffic-engineer be solved.

Circumferential freeways.—Two 2000 to increase access between u area and to increase market pote